

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Vinod Jetley,
S/o Shri Inder Sen Jetley,
H. No. 3042, Sector 40-D,
Chandigarh.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar -1,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM- 1,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1302 of 2020

Present:- Shri Vinod Jetley, appellant is absent.
Smt. Manmeet Gupta, Reader to Tehsildar is present on behalf of Shri Rupinder Pal Singh, PIO-cum-Tehsildar -1, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Vinod Jetley, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.12.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Tehsildar -1, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and she stated that as was directed vide Order dated 15.09.2020 passed by the Commission the appellant has not visited the office of the SPIO on 18.09.2020.

I have gone through the Order dated 15.09.2020 and the appellant was directed to visit the office of the SPIO on 18.09.2020, but he has not complied with the order of the Commission as was stated by the respondent.

As the appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission and has not visited the office of the respondent SPIO as was directed, so it appears that he is not interested in getting the information. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 20.10.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, RTI Activist (M: 94645-00405),

Ward NO.18, Street No.2,

Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna.



..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 592 of 2020

Present:- Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, appellant is absent.
Shri Kuljinder Singh, SDO is present on behalf of Shri Mukesh Garg, SPIO, O/o
Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.09.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO. The respondent stated that in compliance to the order dated 15.09.2020 passed by the Commission, the attested copies of 732 pages of information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 16.09.2020.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 22.09.2020, diarized vide no. 12526, which is a copy of letter no. 179/SE-II dated 16.09.2020 along with a photo copy of postal receipt dated 16.09.2020 and copy of letter no. 123 dated 29.11.2019.

I have gone through all the documents and it is submitted by the SPIO that attested copies of 732 pages of information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 16.09.2020. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the information regarding para no. 2 of the RTI application has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no.122 dated 29.11.2019.

As the SPIO has sent the attested copies of 732 pages of information to the appellant through registered post on 16.09.202 and a period of more than one month has been passed, but the appellant has not brought any deficiency to the notice of the SPIO and nor to the Commission which means the appellant is satisfied with the information. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 20.10.2020

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri George Subh, S/o Sh. Rustam Masih,
R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12,
Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP (Rural),
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o IGP, Jalandhar Range,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2218 of 2020

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is present.
Shri Ravi Kumar, SP is present on behalf of the SPIO-cum-SSP (Rural),
Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.02.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Jalandhar. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.89-DRTI dated 26.05.2020 and the information sought by him was denied U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.06.2020. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 19.08.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the information sought by the appellant is related with the third party and hence cannot be provided to him U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005 and the same has been intimated to the appellant vide letter no.89-DRTI dated 26.05.2020. He further stated that the detailed reply and a copy of the letter through which reply was sent to the appellant has also been sent to the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 25.02.2020 and also gone through the reply submitted by the SPIO. The RTI application filed by the appellant is vague and not specific as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information, rather he is seeking information for the whole of the district from the public authority. If the public authority would be directed to do this, then 75% time of the public authority will be used in preparing the information.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** as the RTI application filed by the appellant is not specific, rather it is vague as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 20.10.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri George Subh,
S/o Sh. Rustam Masih,
R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12,
Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.

.....Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 545 of 2020

Present:- Shri George Subh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri George Subh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 12.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Patiala. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.230 dated 16.07.2020. Then the complainant/applicant filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 19.08.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software**.

The complainant/applicant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 12.06.2020 and the complainant/applicant sought information regarding all the employees/officials working in the district related with the SC category. The RTI application filed by the complainant/applicant is vague and not specific as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information, rather he is seeking information for the whole of the district from the public authority. If the public authority would be directed to do this, then 75% time of the public authority will be used in preparing the information.

So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** as the RTI application filed by the complainant/applicant is not specific, rather it is vague as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information.

Dated: 20.10.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Varun Kumar
S/o Shri Harish Kumar,
Bank Colony, Phase-2,
Opposite Old Age Home,
Fazilka.

... Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o DCP,
Ludhiana.

....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 537 of 2020

Present:- Shri Varun Kumar, complainant/applicant is absent.
ASI Ramesh Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DCP, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Varun Kumar, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DCP, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the complainant/applicant then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.08.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software**.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas respondent has appeared on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been provided to the complainant-applicant on 12.10.2020 and he has executed a duly signed receipt after getting the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 537/2020 dated 16.10.2020 along with a copy of duly signed receipt dated 12.10.2020 executed by Shri Varun Kumar, complainant-applicant. I have gone through the said receipt and it is submitted by the complainant-applicant that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied and also requested to dispose of his complaint case.

As the sought for information has been provided to the complainant-applicant by the SPIO and the complainant-applicant is satisfied, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 20.10.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gaganpreet Singh, Advocate,
Chamber No. 8039, 8th Floor,
New Lawyer's Chambers Complex,
Part-11, District Court, Ludhiana.

... Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o ADGP (Security), Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o ADGP (Security), Punjab,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2228 of 2020

Present:- Shri Gaganpreet Singh, Advocate, appellant is present.
Shri Sarabjit Singh, APIO-cum-DSP is present on behalf of Shri S.K. Singh,
SPIO-cum-IGP, Security, Punjab.

O R D E R

Shri Gaganpreet Singh, Advocate, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o ADGP (Security), Punjab, Chandigarh. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.12921 dated 24.06.2020. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 19.08.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software.**

Both the parties are present today. The respondent APIO stated that the information sought by the appellant could not be provided to him as the Security Wing of Punjab Police has been kept out of purview of the Right to Information Act, 2005 and a reply regarding this has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 12921 dated 24.06.2020.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 19.10.2020, diarized vide no.14268, which is a copy of letter no. 22621 dated 15.10.2020 along with copy of letter no. 12921 dated 24.06.2020. I have gone through the said letters and the above mentioned facts have been submitted by the SPIO.

The appellant has also sent a mail to the Commission which was received on 19.10.2020, diarized vide no.14244. I have gone through the said mail.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the reply of the SPIO. The Security Wing of Punjab Police does not come within the ambit of Right to Information Act, 2005 and is exempted U/s 24(4) of the Act by the Punjab Government vide Notification No. S.O.49/C.A..22/2005/S.24/2016 dated 07.06.2016. Hence, the present appeal is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 20.10.2020